

EXPLICIT ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY FOR THE REAL GRASSMANNIAN OF LINES IN PROJECTIVE SPACE

FRANK SOTTILE

ABSTRACT. For any collection of Schubert conditions on lines in projective space which generically determine a finite number of lines, we show there exist real generic conditions determining the expected number of real lines. This extends the classical Schubert calculus of enumerative geometry for the Grassmann variety of lines in projective space from the complex realm to the real. Our main tool is an explicit description of rational equivalences which also constitutes a novel determination of the Chow rings of these Grassmann varieties. The combinatorics of these rational equivalences suggests a non-commutative associative product on the free abelian group on Young tableaux. We conclude by considering some enumerative problems over other fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

A basic problem in algebraic geometry is to describe the common zeroes of a set of polynomials. This is more difficult over non-algebraically closed fields. For systems of polynomials with few monomials on a complex torus, Khovanskii [6] showed that the real zeroes are at most a small fraction of the complex zeroes. Fulton ([3], §7.2) asked how many solutions to a problem of enumerative geometry can be real; in particular, how many of the 3264 conics tangent to five general real conics can be real. He later showed that all, in fact, can be real. Recently, this was independently rediscovered by Ronga, Tognoli, and Vust [10]. Robert Spesier suggested the classical Schubert calculus of enumerative geometry would be a good testing ground for these questions. For any problem of enumerating lines in $\mathbf{P}^n$ incident on real linear subspaces in general position, we show that all solutions can be real.

A flag and a partition $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$ determine a Schubert subvariety of the Grassmannian of lines in $\mathbf{P}^n$ of type λ , which has codimension $|\lambda| = \alpha + \beta$. Any generically transverse intersection of Schubert varieties is rationally equivalent to a sum of Schubert varieties. The classical Schubert calculus gives algorithms for determining how many of each type.

For partitions $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$, we describe a cycle $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$ (depending upon $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$) which is a sum of distinct Schubert varieties. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m)$ be the set of points of the Chow variety representing generically transverse intersections of Schubert varieties of types $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$. In §4, we show that $\mathcal{G}$ is unirational. Cycles represented by the points of a rational curve on the Chow variety are rationally equivalent. In §3, we show

Date: 31 October 1995.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14M15, 14N10, 14P99, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. Grassmannian, real enumerative geometry, Young tableaux.

Research supported in part by NSERC grant # OGP0170279.

Theorem A. *Let $X \in \mathcal{G}$. Then there is a chain of rational curves between X and the cycle $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$. Furthermore, these curves may be explicitly described and each lies in the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{G}$. In particular, the point representing $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$ is in the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{G}$.*

The proof of Theorem A constitutes an explicitly geometric determination of the Schubert calculus of enumerative geometry for lines in $\mathbf{P}^n$. In fact, it shows these ‘Schubert-type’ enumerative problems may be solved *without* reference to the Chow ring, a traditional tool in enumerative geometry. We use it to compute products in the Chow ring. Let σ_λ be the rational equivalence class of a Schubert variety of type λ .

Theorem B. *Let c^λ be the number of components of $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$ of type λ . Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \sigma_{\lambda^i} = \sum_{\lambda} c^\lambda \sigma_\lambda.$$

Thus we derive the structure of these Chow rings in a strong sense: All products among classes from the Schubert basis are expressed as linear combinations of basis elements and these expressions are obtained by exhibiting rational equivalences between a generically transverse intersection of Schubert varieties and the cycle $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$. We believe this is the first non-trivial explicit description of rational equivalences giving all products among a set generators of the Chow group for any variety.

When $k = \mathbf{R}$, we show

Theorem C. *Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$ be partitions with $|\lambda^1| + \dots + |\lambda^m|$ equal to the dimension of the Grassmannian of lines in $\mathbf{P}^n$. Then there exists a nonempty classically open subset in the product of m real flag manifolds whose corresponding Schubert varieties meet transversally, with all points of intersection real.*

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first result showing that a large class of non-trivial enumerative problems can have all of their solutions real.

The construction of the cycles $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$ and rational curves of Theorem A use a ‘calculus of tableaux’ outlined in §2.5 and extended in §6, where we define a non-commutative associative algebra with additive basis the set of Young tableaux. This algebra has surjections to the Chow rings of Grassmann varieties and the algebra of symmetric functions. However, it differs fundamentally from the plactic algebra of Lascoux and Schützenberger [8].

In §7, we ask which enumerative problems may be solved over which (finite) fields and give the answer for two classes of Schubert-type enumerative problems. We also show how some of our constructions may be carried out over finite fields.

The rational equivalences we construct are a modification of the classical method of degeneration. This method may fail when applied to more than a few conditions; an intersection typically becomes improper before the conditions become special enough to completely determine the intersection. Considering deformations of intersection cycles, rather than of conditions, an idea of Chaivacci and Escamilla-Castillo [1], enables us to deform generically transverse intersections into sums of distinct Schubert varieties.

Theorem C is from our 1994 Ph.D. Thesis from the University of Chicago, written under the direction of William Fulton. We would like to thank William Fulton for suggesting these problems, for his thoughtful advice, and above all for introducing us to algebraic geometry.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let k be an infinite field. Varieties will be closed, reduced, projective (not necessarily irreducible), and defined over k . When $k = \mathbf{R}$, let $X(\mathbf{R})$ be the points of X with residue field $\mathbf{R}$. We use the classical topology on $X(\mathbf{R})$.

A subset Y (not necessarily algebraic) of a variety X is *unirational* if Y contains the image U of a dense open subset of affine space under an algebraic morphism and $Y \subset \overline{U}$. A subset $Y \subset X(\mathbf{R})$ is *real unirational* if Y contains the image U of a dense open subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ under a real algebraic map and $Y \subset \overline{U}$.

Let X be a smooth variety, U and W subvarieties of X , and set $Z = U \cap W$. Then U and W meet *generically transversally* if U and W meet transversally at the generic point of each component of Z . Then Z is generically reduced, the fundamental cycle $[Z]$ of Z is multiplicity free, and in the Chow ring A^*X of X :

$$[U] \cdot [W] = [U \cap W] = [Z] = \sum_{i=1}^r [Z_i],$$

where $Z_1, \dots, Z_r$ are the irreducible components of Z .

2.1. Chow Varieties. Let X be a projective variety. The Chow variety $\text{Chow}X$ is a projective variety parameterizing positive cycles on X . Let U be a smooth variety and W a subvariety of $X \times U$ with equidimensional fibres over U . Then there is a dense open subset U' of U such that the association of a point u of U to the fundamental cycle of the fibre W_u determines a morphism $U' \rightarrow \text{Chow}X$. If U is a smooth curve, then $U' = U$. Moreover, if X , U , and W are defined over k , then so are $\text{Chow}X$, U' , and the map $U' \rightarrow \text{Chow}X$ ([12], §I.9). Cycles represented all points on a rational curve in $\text{Chow}X$ are rationally equivalent. We will use the same notation for a subscheme of X , its fundamental cycle and the point representing that cycle in $\text{Chow}X$.

2.2. Grassmannians and Schubert Subvarieties. For $S \subset \mathbf{P}^n$, let $\langle S \rangle$ be its linear span. For a vector space V , let $\mathbf{P}V$ be the projective space of all one dimensional subspaces of V . Suppose $K = \mathbf{P}U$ and $M = \mathbf{P}W$. Set $\text{Hom}(K, M) = \text{Hom}(U, W)$, the space of linear maps from U to W . If $K \subset M$, set $M/K = \mathbf{P}(W/U)$. A complete flag $F_\bullet$ is a collection of subspaces $F_n \subset \dots \subset F_1 \subset F_0 = \mathbf{P}^n$, where $\dim F_i = n - i$. If $p > n$, set $F_p = \emptyset$.

For $0 \leq s \leq n$, let $\mathbf{G}_s \mathbf{P}^n$ be the Grassmannian of s -dimensional subspaces of $\mathbf{P}^n$. We sometimes write $\mathbf{G}^{n-s} \mathbf{P}^n$ for this variety. Its dimension is $(n-s)(s+1)$. For a partition $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$, let $\mathbf{Fl}(\lambda)$ denote the variety of partial flags of *type* λ ; those $K \subset M$ with K a $(n - \alpha - 1)$ -plane and M a $(n - \beta)$ -plane.

A partial flag $K \subset M$ determines a *Schubert variety* $\Omega(K, M)$; those lines contained in M which also meet K . The *type* of $\Omega(K, M)$ is the type, $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$, of $K \subset M$ and its codimension is $|\lambda| = \alpha + \beta$. If $\alpha = \beta$, then $\Omega(K, M) = \mathbf{G}_1 M$, the Grassmannian of lines in M . If $\beta = 0$, so $M = \mathbf{P}^n$, then we write Ω_K for this Schubert variety.

The tangent space to $\ell \in \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ is naturally identified with the linear space $\text{Hom}(\ell, \mathbf{P}^n/\ell)$. It is not hard to verify the following Lemma, whose proof we omit.

2.3. Lemma.

1. The smooth locus of $\Omega(K, M)$ consists of those ℓ with $\ell \not\subset K$. For such ℓ ,

$$T_\ell \Omega(K, M) = \{\phi \in \text{Hom}(\ell, \mathbf{P}^n/\ell) \mid \phi(\ell) \subset M/\ell \text{ and } \phi(\ell \cap K) \in (K + \ell)/\ell\}.$$
2. Let $K, M \subset \mathbf{P}^n$. Then $\Omega_K \cap \mathbf{G}_1 M = \Omega(K \cap M, M)$, and this is transverse at the smooth points of $\Omega(K \cap M, M)$ if and only if K and M meet properly in $\mathbf{P}^n$.
3. Let $K_i \subset M_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then the intersection $\Omega(K_1, M_1) \cap \Omega(K_2, M_2)$ is improper unless M_i meets both K_j and M_j properly for $i \neq j$.

An intersection of two Schubert varieties may be generically transverse and reducible:

2.4. Lemma. Let F, P, N, H be linear subspaces of $\mathbf{P}^n$ and suppose H is a hyperplane not containing P or N , $F \subsetneq P \cap H$, and N meets F properly. Set $L = N \cap H$. Then $\Omega(F, P)$ and Ω_L meet generically transversally,

$$\Omega(F, P) \bigcap \Omega_L = \Omega(N \cap F, P) + \Omega(F, P \cap H) \bigcap \Omega_N,$$

and the second component is itself a generically transverse intersection.

Proof: The right hand side is a subset of the left, we show the other inclusion. Let $\ell \in \Omega(F, P) \cap \Omega_L$. If ℓ meets $L \cap F = N \cap F$, then $\ell \in \Omega(N \cap F, P)$. Otherwise, ℓ is spanned by its intersections with F and L , hence $\ell \subset \langle F, L \rangle \cap P \subset P \cap H$. Since $N \cap P \cap H = L \cap P \cap H$, we see that $\ell \in \Omega(F, P \cap H) \cap \Omega_N$.

Verifying these intersections are generically transverse is left to the reader. $\blacksquare$

2.5. A Calculus of Tableaux. The *Young diagram* of a partition $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$ is a two rowed array of boxes with α boxes in the first row and β in the second. Note that $\alpha \geq \left\lfloor \frac{|\lambda|+1}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{|\lambda|}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \beta$. We make no distinction between a partition and its Young diagram.

A *Young tableau* T of shape λ is a filling of the boxes of λ with the integers $1, 2, \dots, |\lambda|$. These integers increase left to right across each row and down each column. Thus the j th entry in the second row of T must be at least $2j$. Call $|\lambda|$ the *degree* of T , denoted $|T|$. If $\alpha = \beta$, then T is said to be *rectangular*.

Let T be a tableau and α a positive integer. Define $T * \alpha$ to be the set of all tableaux of degree $|T| + \alpha$ whose first $|T|$ entries comprise T and last α entries occur in distinct columns, increasing from left to right. For example:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} * 4 = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $T(\alpha) \in T * \alpha$ be the tableau whose last α entries lie in the first row. Let $T^{+\alpha} \in T * \alpha$ be the tableau whose last α entries lie in the second row, if that is possible. Write T^+ for T^{+1} and define $T^{+\alpha}(\beta)$ to be $(T^{+\alpha})(\beta)$. Then

$$T * \alpha = \{T(\alpha), T^+(\alpha - 1), \dots, T^{+\alpha}\}.$$

Given a set $\mathcal{T}$ of tableaux, define $\mathcal{T} * \alpha$ to be $\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} T * \alpha$, a disjoint union. Similarly define $\mathcal{T}(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{T}^{+\alpha}$, and $\mathcal{T}^{+\alpha}(\beta)$. For $0 \leq s \leq \alpha$, set $\mathcal{T}_{s,\alpha} = \mathcal{T}(\alpha) \cup \mathcal{T}^+(\alpha - 1) \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{T}^{+s}(\alpha - s)$. It follows that $\mathcal{T}_{s,\alpha} = \mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha} \cup (\mathcal{T}^{+s}(\alpha - s))$ and $\mathcal{T} * \alpha = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\alpha}$. Finally, for positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$, define $\alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_m$ to be $\emptyset * \alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_m$, where $\emptyset$ is the unique tableau of shape $(0, 0)$.

2.6. Arrangements. An *arrangement* $\mathcal{F}$ is a collection of $2n-3$ hyperplanes $H_2, \dots, H_{2n-2}$ and a complete flag F in $\mathbf{P}^n$, which satisfy some additional assumptions. For a tableau T of degree at most $2n-2$, let H_T be $\bigcap \{H_j \mid j \in \text{the second row of } T\}$. For $p = 2, 3, \dots, 2n-2$, the linear spaces in an arrangement are required to satisfy:

1. $H_p \cap F_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} = F_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1}$,
2. For tableaux S, T of degrees at most $p-1$, $H_T \cap H_S \subset H_p \Rightarrow H_T \cap H_S = F_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1}$.

The only obstruction to constructing arrangements over k is that k have enough elements to find H_p satisfying condition 2. In particular, there are arrangements for any infinite field. In §7.5, we give an estimate over which finite fields it is possible to construct arrangements.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an arrangement and T a tableau of shape (α, β) with $\alpha + \beta \leq 2n-2$. Define $\Omega(T) = \Omega(F_{\alpha+1}, H_T)$. If $\alpha \geq n$, then $F_{\alpha+1} = \emptyset$, so $\Omega(T) = \emptyset$.

2.7. Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an arrangement, S, T tableaux of degree $l \leq 2n-2$ and suppose T has shape (α, β) with $\alpha \leq n-1$. Then*

1. $F_{\alpha+1} \subset F_{\lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor + 1} \subset H_T$ and $F_{\alpha+1} \subset H_T$ is a partial flag of type (α, β) .
2. If $\beta > 0$, then $H_T \neq F_\beta$.
3. If either $\Omega(T) = \Omega(S)$ or $H_T = H_S$, then $T = S$.

Proof: Since $\alpha \geq \lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor$ and for $p \leq l$, $F_{\lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor} \subset H_p$, we see $F_{\alpha+1} \subset F_{\lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor + 1} \subset H_T$, by the definition of arrangement. We show the codimension of H_T is β by induction on β . When $\beta = 0$ or 1 , this is clear. Removing, if necessary, extra entries from the first row of T , we may assume l is in the second row of T . Let S be the tableau obtained from T by removing l . By induction, H_S has codimension $\beta-1$. By the definition of arrangement, $H_S \not\subset H_l$. Noting $H_T = H_S \cap H_l$ proves (1).

For (2), if $H_T = F_\beta$, then $F_\beta \subset H_p$, where p is the β th entry in the second row of T . Thus $F_\beta \subset F_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1}$ so $\beta \geq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1$. But this contradicts $p \geq 2\beta$.

For (3), since $\Omega(T) \neq \emptyset$, H_T is the union of all lines in $\Omega(T)$. Thus either assumption implies $H_T = H_S$. Suppose $|S| + |T|$ is minimal subject to $S \neq T$ but $H_S = H_T$. Let $s = |S|$ and $t = |T|$, then s and t are necessarily in the second rows of S and T , respectively. If $s \neq t$, suppose $s > t$. Then $H_T = H_S \cap H_s$, which contradicts $H_T \not\subset H_s$.

Thus $s = t$. Let S' and T' be the tableaux obtained by removing s from each of S and T . Then $H_{S'} \neq H_{T'}$, but $H_{S'} \cap H_{T'} = H_S \subset H_s$. Thus $H_S = F_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}$ a contradiction, as the codimension of H_S is at most $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$. $\blacksquare$

2.8. The Cycles $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$ and $\Omega(\mathcal{T}_{s,\alpha}; L)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an arrangement. For a set $\mathcal{T}$ of tableaux with common degree $l \leq 2n-2$, define $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$ to be the sum over $T \in \mathcal{T}$ of the Schubert varieties $\Omega(T)$. By Lemma 2.7(3), these are distinct, so $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$ is a multiplicity free cycle.

Let $0 \leq s \leq \alpha$ be integers and L a subspace of codimension $\alpha - s + 1$ which meets the subspaces $F_{\lfloor \frac{l+s}{2} \rfloor + 1}, \dots, F_{l+1}$ properly with $L \cap F_{l+1} = F_{l+\alpha-s+2}$ and if $|T| = l$, then L meets $H_{T+(s-1)}$ and H_{T+s} properly. When this occurs, we shall say that L *meets $\mathcal{F}_{l,s}$ properly*. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha}$. Then T is a tableau whose first row has length $b \geq \lfloor \frac{l+s}{2} \rfloor + 1 + \alpha - s$ and no entry in its second row exceeds $l + s - 1$. Define

$$\Omega(T; L) = \begin{cases} \Omega(F_{b+1}, H_T) & \text{if } b \geq l + 1 \\ \Omega(F_{b-\alpha+s} \cap L, H_T) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then we define $\Omega(\mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha}; L)$ to be the sum over $T \in \mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha}$ of the varieties $\Omega(T; L)$. By Lemma 2.7(3), these are distinct, so $\Omega(\mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha}; L)$ is a multiplicity free cycle.

2.9. The sets $U_{i,s}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i,s}$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be positive integers and $\mathcal{F}$ an arrangement. Fix $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $\mathcal{T} = \alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_{i-1}$ and l be the common degree of tableaux in $\mathcal{T}$.

Let $\Sigma_{i,0} \subset \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n \times \prod_{j=i}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_j+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ be the subvariety whose fibre over $(K_i, \dots, K_m)$ is

$$\Omega(\mathcal{T}) \bigcap \Omega_{K_i} \bigcap \dots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Let $U_{i,0} \subset \prod_{j=i}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_j+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ be those points for which this intersection is generically transverse. Let $\mathcal{G}_{i,0} \subset \text{Chow} \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ be the fundamental cycles of fibres of $\Sigma_{i,0}$ over $U_{i,0}$.

For $1 \leq s \leq \alpha_i$, let $\Sigma_{i,s} \subset \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i-s+1}(\mathbf{P}^n / F_{l+\alpha_i-s+2}) \times \prod_{j=i+1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_j+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ be the subvariety where L meets $\mathcal{F}_{i,s}$ properly and whose fibre over $(L, K_{i+1}, \dots, K_m)$ is

$$\left[\Omega(\mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha_i}; L) + \Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+s}) \bigcap \Omega_L \right] \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \dots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Define $U_{i,s}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i,s}$ analogously to $U_{i,0}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i,0}$.

Set $\mathcal{G}_{m+1,0}$ to be the singleton $\{\Omega(\alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_m)\}$.

When $k = \mathbf{R}$, let $\mathcal{G}_{i,s;\mathbf{R}}$ be the fundamental cycles of fibres of $\Sigma_{i,s}$ over $U_{i,s}(\mathbf{R})$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In §4, we prove

Theorem D. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be positive integers and $\mathcal{F}$ any arrangement. Then*

1. *For all $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $0 \leq s \leq \alpha_i$, $U_{i,s}$ is a dense open subset of the corresponding product of Grassmannians.*
2. *For all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $0 \leq s \leq \alpha_i$, $\mathcal{G}_{i,s}$ is a unirational subset of $\text{Chow} \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$. When $k = \mathbf{R}$, $\mathcal{G}_{i,s;\mathbf{R}}$ is a real unirational subset of $\text{Chow} \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{R})$.*

Let U be an open subset of $\mathbf{P}^1$ and $\phi : U \rightarrow U_{i,s}$. Then $\phi^* \Sigma_{i,s}$ has equidimensional fibres over U . As in §2.1, the association of a point u of U to the fundamental cycle of the fibre $(\phi^* \Sigma_{i,s})_u$ is an algebraic morphism, which we denote $\phi_* : U \rightarrow \text{Chow} \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$. Let ϕ_* be the unique extension of ϕ_* to $\mathbf{P}^1$, as well. In §5, we prove:

Theorem E. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be positive integers and $\mathcal{F}$ any arrangement. Then*

1. *For all $1 \leq i \leq m$, if X is a closed point of $\mathcal{G}_{i+1,0}$, then there is an open subset U of $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0\}$ and a map $\phi : U \rightarrow U_{i,\alpha_i}$ such that $X = \phi_*(0)$.*
2. *For all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq s \leq \alpha_i$, if X is a closed point of $\mathcal{G}_{i,s+1}$, then there is an open subset U of $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0\}$ and a map $\phi : U \rightarrow U_{i,s}$ such that $X = \phi_*(0)$.*

3.1. Proof of Theorem A. In the situation of Theorem E, let $\mathcal{T} = \alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_m$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{1,0}$ is the set $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{m+1,0}$ is the cycle $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$. By Theorem D part 2, any two points of $\mathcal{G}_{i,s}$ are connected by a chain of rational curves, each lying within the closure of $\mathcal{G}_{i,s}$. Downward induction in the lexicographic order on pairs (i, s) gives a chain of rational curves between $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$ and a cycle $X \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus Theorem E implies Theorem A when $\beta_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Suppose $\lambda^i = (\alpha_i + \beta_i, \beta_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $M_0 \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ have codimension $\beta = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be any arrangement in M_0 . Define $U_{i,s}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i,s}$ as in §2.9, with M_0 replacing $\mathbf{P}^n$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{G}$, so X is a generically transverse intersection

$$\Omega(K_1, M_1) \bigcap \dots \bigcap \Omega(K_m, M_m),$$

where $K_i \subset M_i$ has type λ^i for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Set $M = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_m$. Iteration of Lemma 2.3 shows that M has codimension β and $L_i = M \cap K_i$ has codimension $\alpha_i + 1$ in M . Thus

$$X = \Omega_{L_1} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{L_m}$$

is a generically transverse intersection in $\mathbf{G}_1 M$.

Let γ be any automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^n$ with $\gamma M = M_0$ and Γ a one parameter subgroup containing γ . The orbit $\Gamma \cdot X$ is a rational curve (or a point) in $\text{Chow } \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ containing $\gamma(X)$. Since $\gamma(X)$ is in $\mathcal{G}_{i,0}$, previous arguments have shown there exists a chain of rational curves between $\gamma(X)$ and $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$, each contained within the closure of $\mathcal{G}_{i,0}$. $\blacksquare$

3.2. The Schubert Calculus. Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$ be partitions with $\lambda^i = (\alpha_i + \beta_i, \beta_i)$. Set $\beta = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m$ and $s_i = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{i-1}$. For a partition λ with $|\lambda| = s_m$, define $\mathcal{C}^\lambda = \mathcal{C}^\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ to be those tableaux of shape λ such that for $1 \leq i \leq m$ the integers $s_i + 1, \dots, s_i + \alpha_i$ occur in distinct columns increasing from left to right. Then $\alpha_1 * \cdots * \alpha_m = \bigcup_{|\lambda|=s_m} \mathcal{C}^\lambda$. Let $c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^\lambda = \#\mathcal{C}^\lambda$.

Interpreting Theorems A and E in terms of products in the Chow ring of $\mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$, we have:

Theorem B'.

1. $\sigma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha_m} = \sum_{|\lambda|=s_m} c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^\lambda \sigma_\lambda.$
2. $\sigma_{\lambda^1} \cdots \sigma_{\lambda^m} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda = (\beta + a, \beta + b) \\ a+b=s_m}} c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^{(a,b)} \sigma_\lambda.$

In particular, if $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = 2n - 2$, then the only non-zero term on the right hand side of (1) is $c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^{(n-1, n-1)} \sigma_{(n-1, n-1)}$, or $c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^{(n-1, n-1)}$ times the class of a point (line). Thus

3.3. Corollary. *The number of lines meeting general $(n - \alpha_i - 1)$ -planes for $1 \leq i \leq m$ is equal to the number of tableaux of shape $(n - 1, n - 1)$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq m$, the integers $s_i + 1, \dots, s_i + \alpha_i$ occur in distinct columns increasing from left to right.*

This number, $c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^{(n-1, n-1)}$, is also known as a Kostka number [11].

3.4. Enumerative Geometry of the Real Grassmannian. Let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}}$ consist of the fundamental cycles of generically transverse intersections of Schubert varieties of types $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$ defined by real flags.

Theorem C'. *Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$ be partitions, suppose $\lambda^i = (\alpha_i + \beta_i, \beta_i)$, and set $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i$. Let $M \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a real $(n - \beta)$ -plane and $\mathcal{F}$ an arrangement in M .*

1. $\Omega(\alpha_1 * \cdots * \alpha_m)$ is in the closure of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}}$.
2. If $|\lambda^1| + \cdots + |\lambda^m| = 2n - 2$, then there is a nonempty classically open subset in the product of m real flag manifolds whose corresponding Schubert varieties meet transversally, with all points of intersection real.

Proof: Suppose that $\lambda^i = (\alpha_i + \beta_i, \beta_i)$. Define $U_{i,s}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i,s}$ as in §2.9 for the arrangement $\mathcal{F}$ in M and the integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem A shows $\mathcal{G}_{1,0;\mathbf{R}} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Restricting to the real points of the varieties in Theorem E shows $\mathcal{G}_{i,s;\mathbf{R}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{G}_{1,0;\mathbf{R}}}$. The case $(i, s) = (m + 1, 0)$ is part 1.

For 2, let $d = c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^{(n-1, n-1)}$. Then $\Omega(\alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_m)$ is d distinct real lines. Hence $\mathcal{G}_{i,s} \subset S^d \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$, the Chow variety of effective degree d zero cycles on $\mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$. The real points $S^d \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{R})$ of $S^d \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ are effective degree d zero cycles stable under complex conjugation. The dense subset of $S^d \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{R})$ of multiplicity free cycles has a component $\mathcal{M}$ parameterizing sets of d distinct real lines and $\Omega(\alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_m) \in \mathcal{M}$. By part 1, $\Omega(\alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_m) \in \overline{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}}}$, which shows $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$, a restatement of 2. $\blacksquare$

4. GENERICALLY TRANSVERSE INTERSECTIONS

4.1. Lemma. *Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$ be partitions. Then the set U of partial flags $K_1 \subset M_1, \dots, K_m \subset M_m$ for which the intersection*

$$\Omega(K_1, M_1) \cap \dots \cap \Omega(K_m, M_m)$$

is generically transverse is a dense open subset of $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Fl}(\lambda^i)$.

Proof: For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $K_i \subset M_i$ be a partial flag of type $\lambda^i = (\alpha_i + \beta_i, \beta_i)$ and suppose the corresponding Schubert varieties meet generically transversely. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\Omega(K_1, M_1) \cap \dots \cap \Omega(K_m, M_m) = \mathbf{G}_1 M \cap \Omega_{L_1} \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{L_m},$$

where $M = M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_m$, $K_i = L_i \cap M_i$ where L_i meets M_i properly, and M has codimension $\beta = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m$.

Fix a codimension β subspace M of $\mathbf{P}^n$. As U is stable under the diagonal action of Gl_{n+1} , it is the union of the translates of $V = U \cap X$, where X consists of those m -tuples of flags with $M \subset M_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Moreover, U is open if and only if V is open in X .

Let $Y \subset X$ be those flags where $M = M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_m$ and K_i meets M properly. The product of maps defined by $(K_i, M_i) \mapsto K_i \cap M = L_i$ exhibits Y as a fibre bundle over the product $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} M$, and V is the inverse image of the set W consisting of those $(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ for which $\Omega_{L_1} \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{L_m}$ is generically transverse. Thus we may assume $\beta_i = 0$.

Let $\Sigma \subset (\mathbf{P}^n)^m \times \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n \times \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ consist of those $(p_1, \dots, p_m, \ell, L_1, \dots, L_m)$ such that $p_i \in \ell \cap L_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. The projection of Σ to $(\mathbf{P}^n)^m \times \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ exhibits Σ as a fibre bundle with fibre $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1}(\mathbf{P}^n/p_i)$ and image those $(p_1, \dots, p_m, \ell)$ with each $p_i \in \ell$. This image has dimension $m + 2n - 2$. Thus Σ is irreducible of dimension

$$m + 2n - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (n - \alpha_i - 1)(\alpha_i + 1) = 2n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^m (n - \alpha_i)(\alpha_i + 1).$$

The image of Σ in $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ consists of those $(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ whose corresponding Schubert varieties have nonempty intersection. This image is a proper subvariety if $2n - 2 < \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$. In this case, U is the complement of this image.

Suppose $2n - 2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$. Let $W \subset \Sigma$ consist of those points where $\Omega_{L_1}, \dots, \Omega_{L_m}$ meet transversally at ℓ . By Lemma 2.3, W consists of those points such that

1. $\ell \not\subset L_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, thus $p_i = \ell \cap L_i$ and ℓ is a smooth point of Ω_{L_i} .
2. The tangent spaces $T_\ell \Omega_{L_i}$ meet transversally.

Thus W is an open subset of Σ . We show $W \neq \emptyset$.

Fix $\ell \in \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ and distinct points $p_1, \dots, p_m$ of ℓ . Define $f : \text{Hom}(\ell, \mathbf{P}^n/\ell) - \{0\} \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}^n/\ell)^m$ by $\phi \mapsto (\phi(p_1), \dots, \phi(p_m))$. Let $G \subset \text{Gl}_{n+1}$ fix ℓ pointwise. Then G^m acts transitively on $(\mathbf{P}^n/\ell)^m$. Choose $(L_1, \dots, L_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ with $p_i = \ell \cap L_i$.

By Theorem 2 (i) of [7], there is a dense open subset V of G^m consisting of those $\mathbf{g}$ such that either $f^{-1}(\mathbf{g}((L_1 + \ell)/\ell \times \dots \times (L_m + \ell)/\ell))$ is empty or its codimension equals that of $(L_1 + \ell)/\ell \times \dots \times (L_m + \ell)/\ell$ in $\mathbf{P}^n$, which is $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$.

Let $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in V$ and set $L'_i = g_i L_i$. Then $f^{-1}((L'_1 + \ell)/\ell \times \dots \times (L'_m + \ell)/\ell) \cup \{0\}$ is the intersection of the tangent spaces $T_\ell \Omega_{L'_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Since α_i is the codimension of $T_\ell \Omega_{K'_i}$ in $T_\ell \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \leq 2n - 2$, we see that $\Omega_{L'_1}, \dots, \Omega_{L'_m}$ meet transversally at ℓ . Thus $W \neq \emptyset$.

Let $Z = \Sigma - W$ and π be the projection $\Sigma \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$. The desired set U consists of those $(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ with $\dim(\pi^{-1}(L_1, \dots, L_m) \cap Z) < 2n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$. U is open and non-empty, for otherwise $\dim Z = \dim \Sigma$, which implies $Z = \Sigma$ and contradicts $W \neq \emptyset$. $\blacksquare$

4.2. Lemma. *Let $d, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be positive integers and Z a subscheme of $\mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ with $\dim(Z) < d$. Then the set $W \subset \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ consisting of those $(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ for which $\dim(Z \cap \Omega_{K_1} \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{K_m}) < d - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$ is open and dense.*

Proof: Let $\Sigma \subset Z \times \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ be the subscheme whose fibre over $(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is $Z \cap \Omega_{K_1} \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{K_m}$. By the upper semicontinuity of fibre dimension, W is open. If W were empty, then all fibres of the projection to $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ would have dimension at least $d - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$ and so $\dim \Sigma \geq d - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^m (n - \alpha_i)(\alpha_i + 1)$.

Projecting to Z exhibits Σ as a fibre bundle with fibre over a point ℓ of Z equal to $X_1(\ell) \times \dots \times X_m(\ell)$, where $X_i(\ell) \subset \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ is the set of those $K_i \subset \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_i+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ which meet ℓ , which has codimension α_i . Thus Σ has dimension

$$\dim Z - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^m (n - \alpha_i)(\alpha_i + 1).$$

Since $d > \dim Z$, W must be non-empty. $\blacksquare$

4.3. Proof of Theorem D, part 1. We show that for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq s \leq \alpha_i$, the sets $U_{i,s}$ are open dense subsets of the corresponding products of Grassmannians.

Let $\mathcal{T} = \alpha_1 * \dots * \alpha_{i-1}$. Recall that $U_{i,0}$ consists of those $(K_i, \dots, K_m)$ such that the intersection

$$\Omega(\mathcal{T}) \cap \Omega_{K_i} \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{K_m}$$

is generically transverse. Such a cycle has dimension $d = 2n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$.

Let Z be the singular locus of $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$. The above intersection is generically transverse if $\dim(Z \cap \Omega_{K_i} \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{K_m}) < d$ and if, for every component $\Omega(T)$ of $\Omega(\mathcal{T})$, the intersection $\Omega(T) \cap \Omega_{K_i} \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{K_m}$ is generically transverse.

By Lemma 2.7, $\Omega(T) = \Omega(S) \neq \emptyset$ implies that $T = S$, thus Z is a union of intersections of components and the singular loci of components, and hence $\dim(Z) < \dim(\Omega(\mathcal{T}))$. By Lemma 4.2, there is an open subset W of $\prod_{j=i}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_j+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ consisting those $(K_i, \dots, K_m)$ for which $\dim(Z \cap \Omega_{K_i} \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{K_m}) < d$.

For $T \in \mathcal{T}$, let $U_T \subset \prod_{j=i}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_j+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ be those $(K_i, \dots, K_m)$ where the intersection

$$\Omega(T) \bigcap \Omega_{K_i} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$$

is generically transverse. It suffices to show that for each $T \in \mathcal{T}$, U_T is a dense open subset of $\prod_{j=i}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_j+1} \mathbf{P}^n$, since $U_{i,0} = W \cap \bigcap_{T \in \mathcal{T}} U_T$.

Suppose T has shape $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$ and let $V \subset \mathbf{Fl}(\lambda) \times \prod_{j=i}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_j+1} \mathbf{P}^n$ be those flags for which the intersection

$$\Omega(F, H) \bigcap \Omega_{K_i} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$$

is generically transverse. By Lemma 4.1, V is dense and open. Note that

$$\{F_{\alpha+1} \subset H_T\} \times U_T = V \bigcap \left(\{F_{\alpha+1} \subset H_T\} \times \prod_{j=i}^m \mathbf{G}^{\alpha_j+1} \mathbf{P}^n \right),$$

so U_T is open. Since $\mathbf{Fl}(\lambda) = Gl_{n+1} \cdot \{F_{\alpha+1} \subset H_T\}$, and V is stable under the diagonal action of Gl_{n+1} , $V = Gl_{n+1} \cdot (\{F_{\alpha+1} \subset H_T\} \times U_T)$. Thus U_T is non-empty.

The case of $U_{i,s}$ for $s > 0$ follows by similar arguments. $\blacksquare$

4.4. Unirationality of $\mathcal{G}_{i,s}$.

Lemma. *Let X be a projective variety, U a dense open subset of a variety Y which is covered by affine spaces, and suppose that $\Sigma \subset X \times U$ is closed and the projection to U has generically reduced fibres of pure dimension. Then the set $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Chow } X$ of fundamental cycles of the fibres of Σ is unirational. When $k = \mathbf{R}$, let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}}$ be those cycles lying over $U(\mathbf{R})$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is real unirational.*

Part 2 of Theorem D is a consequence of this Lemma.

Proof: Let π be the projection $\Sigma \rightarrow U$. As in §2.1, let $U' \subset U$ be the open set where the map ϕ which associates a point of U to the fundamental cycle of the fibre of π at x is an algebraic morphism. Then $\phi(U') \subset \mathcal{G}$. We show $\mathcal{G} \subset \overline{\phi(U')}$.

Let $x \in U$ and choose a map $f : \mathbf{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = x$ and $f^{-1}(U') \neq \emptyset$. This is possible as Y has a covering by affine spaces. Then $f^{-1}\Sigma \rightarrow f^{-1}(U)$ is a family over a smooth curve with generically reduced fibres of pure dimension. The association of a point u of $f^{-1}(U)$ to the fundamental cycle of the fibre $\pi^{-1}(f(u))$ gives a map $\psi : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \text{Chow } X$ agreeing with $\phi \circ f$ on $f^{-1}(U')$. Thus the fundamental cycle of $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is in $\phi(U')$.

If $k = \mathbf{R}$, these maps show $\phi(U'(\mathbf{R})) \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}} \subset \overline{\phi(U'(\mathbf{R}))}$, thus $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is real unirational. $\blacksquare$

5. CONSTRUCTION OF EXPLICIT RATIONAL EQUIVALENCES

We use the following to parameterize the explicit rational equivalences we construct.

5.1. Lemma. *Let F be a complete flag in $\mathbf{P}^n$. Suppose L_{∞} is a hyperplane not containing F_n . Then there exists a pencil of hyperplanes L_t , for $t \in \mathbf{P}^1 = \mathbf{A}^1 \cup \{\infty\}$, such that if $t \neq 0$, then L_t meets the subspaces in F properly, and, for each $i \leq n-1$, the family of codimension $i+1$ planes induced by $L_t \cap F_i$, for $t \neq 0$ has fibre F_{i+1} over 0.*

Proof: Let $x_0, \dots, x_n$ be coordinates for $\mathbf{P}^n$ such that L_{∞} is given by $x_n = 0$ and F_i by $x_0 = \dots = x_{i-1} = 0$. Let $e_0, \dots, e_n$ be a basis for $\mathbf{P}^n$ dual to these coordinates and define

$$L_t = \langle te_j + e_{j+1} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \rangle.$$

For $t \neq 0$, $L_t \cap F_i = \langle te_j + e_{j+1} \mid i \leq j \leq n-1 \rangle$ and so has codimension $i+1$. The fibre of this family at 0 is $\langle e_{j+1} \mid i \leq j \leq n-1 \rangle = F_{i+1}$. $\blacksquare$

In the situation of Lemma 5.1, we write $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} L_t \cap F_i = F_{i+1}$.

For the remainder of this section, fix an arrangement $\mathcal{F}$. Set $\mathcal{T} = \alpha_1 * \cdots * \alpha_{i-1}$ and let l be the common degree of tableaux in $\mathcal{T}$.

5.2. Proof of Theorem E, part 1. Let $1 \leq i \leq m$, and suppose X_0 is a cycle in $\mathcal{G}_{i+1,0}$:

$$X_0 = \Omega(\mathcal{T} * \alpha_i) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Let L_∞ be any hyperplane which meets $\mathcal{F}_{l,\alpha_i}$ properly. By Lemma 5.1 applied to the flag induced by F_i in $\mathbf{P}^n/F_{l+2}$ and the hyperplane L_∞/F_{l+2} , there is a pencil L_t of hyperplanes such that if $t \neq 0$ and $i \leq l+1$, then L_t meets F_i properly and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} L_t \cap F_i = F_{i+1}$.

Let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ be the subscheme whose fibre at $t \neq 0$ is

$$X_t = \left[\Omega(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_i-1,\alpha_i}; L_t) + \Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+\alpha_i}) \right] \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Since L_∞ meets $\mathcal{F}_{l,\alpha_i}$ properly, the set $U' \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ of t where L_t meets $\mathcal{F}_{l,\alpha_i}$ properly is open and dense.

We claim that X_0 is the fibre of $\mathcal{X}$ over 0. In that case, let $U'' \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ be the open subset of those t for which X_t is generically reduced. Since X_0 is generically reduced, $0 \in U''$ so $U'' \neq \emptyset$. For $t \in U' \cap U''$, the fibre $X_t \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1,\alpha_i}$, as $\Omega_{L_t} = \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$. The restriction of $\mathcal{X}$ to $U \cup \{0\}$ gives a family over a smooth curve with generically reduced equidimensional fibres. Thus the association of a point of $U \cup \{0\}$ to its fibre gives a map $\phi : U \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \text{Chow } \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ with $\phi(U) \subset \mathcal{G}_{i-1,\alpha_i}$ and $\phi(0) = X_0$, proving part 1.

For $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_i-1,\alpha_i}$, let $\mathcal{X}_T \subset \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ be the subscheme whose fibre at $t \neq 0$ is

$$(X_T)_t = \Omega(T; L_t) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Since $\mathcal{X} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_i-1,\alpha_i}} \mathcal{X}_T + \mathbf{P}^1 \times \Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+\alpha_i}) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$, to show that X_0 is the fibre of $\mathcal{X}$ over 0 it suffices to show that for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_i-1,\alpha_i}$, the fibre of $\mathcal{X}_T$ at 0 is $\Omega(T) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_i-1,\alpha_i}$. If the first row of T has length exceeding $l+1$, then $\Omega(T; L_t) = \Omega(T)$, so $\mathcal{X}_T$ is the constant family $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \Omega(T) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$.

Now suppose the first row of T has length $b \leq l+1$. Then, for $t \neq 0$, $\Omega(T; L_t) = \Omega(F_b \cap L_t, H_T)$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F_b \cap L_t = F_{b+1}$, we see that $\Omega(T)$ is the fibre over 0 of the family over $\mathbf{P}^1$ whose fibre over $t \neq 0$ is $\Omega(T; L_t)$.

Since $\Omega(T) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$ is generically transverse, there is an open subset $U_T \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ such that for $t \in U_T - \{0\}$, $\Omega(T; L_t) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$ is generically transverse. This shows that the fibre over 0 of $\mathcal{X}_T$ is $\Omega(T) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$.

5.3. Proof of Theorem E, part 2. Let $0 \leq s \leq \alpha_i - 1$ and suppose $X_0 \in \mathcal{G}_{i,s+1}$

$$X_0 = \left[\Omega(\mathcal{T}_{s,\alpha_i}; N) + \Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+s+1}) \right] \bigcap \Omega_N \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Then N has codimension $\alpha_i - s$ in $\mathbf{P}^n$ and meets $\mathcal{F}_{l,s+1}$ properly, and the above intersection is generically transverse. We make a useful calculation. Let $L_0 = N \cap H_{l+s+1}$.

Lemma.

$$\Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+s}(\alpha_i - s); N) + \Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+s+1}) \bigcap \Omega_N = \Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+s}) \bigcap \Omega_{L_0}.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_{s,\alpha_i} = \mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha_i} \bigcup \mathcal{T}^{+s}(\alpha_i - s)$, we see that

$$X_0 = \left[\Omega(\mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha_i}; N) + \Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+s}) \bigcap \Omega_{L_0} \right] \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Proof: Let $T \in \mathcal{T}^{+s}$ and suppose that b is the length of the first row of T . Then $\lfloor \frac{l+s+1}{2} \rfloor \leq b \leq l$. The degree of T is $l+s$, so $L_0 = N \cap H_{l+s+1}$ meets H_T properly, because $H_T \cap H_{l+s+1}$ equals either H_{T^+} or $F_{\lfloor \frac{l+s+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}$, each of which meets N properly.

If T is rectangular, $b = \frac{l+s}{2}$ and $\Omega(T) = \mathbf{G}_1 H_T$. Thus, $\Omega(T) \bigcap \Omega_{L_0} = \Omega(H_T \cap L_0, H_T)$. Since L_0 meets H_T properly, this is generically transverse, by Lemma 2.3.

We calculate $H_T \cap L_0$. First note that $H_T \cap H_{l+s+1} = F_{\lfloor \frac{l+s+1}{2} \rfloor + 1} = F_{\lfloor \frac{l+s}{2} \rfloor + 1}$. So $L_0 \cap H_T = N \cap H_{l+s+1} \cap H_T = N \cap F_{\lfloor \frac{l+s}{2} \rfloor + 1}$. As $H_T = H_{T(\alpha_i - s)}$,

$$\Omega(F_{b+1}, H_T) \bigcap \Omega_{L_0} = \Omega(N \cap F_{\lfloor \frac{l+s}{2} \rfloor + 1}, H_{T(\alpha_i - s)}) = \Omega(T(\alpha_i - s); N).$$

Suppose T is not rectangular. Since $F_{b+1} \subset F_{\lfloor \frac{l+s+1}{2} \rfloor + 1} \subset H_{l+s+1}$, Lemma 2.4 implies

$$\Omega(F_{b+1}, H_T) \bigcap \Omega_{L_0} = \Omega(F_{b+1} \cap N, H_T) + \Omega(F_{b+1}, H_T \cap H_{l+s+1}) \bigcap \Omega_N.$$

But this is $\Omega(T(\alpha_i - s); N) + \Omega(T^+) \bigcap \Omega_N$.

Summing over $T \in \mathcal{T}^{+s}$ completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

Let $N_\bullet$ be any complete flag in $N/F_{l+\alpha_i-s+2}$ refining the images of

$$F_{l+\alpha_i-s+1} \subset N \cap F_l \subset \cdots \subset N \cap F_{\lfloor \frac{l+s}{2} \rfloor + 1} \subset L_0.$$

Let L_∞ be any hyperplane of N which meets $\mathcal{F}_{l,s}$ properly. By Lemma 5.1 applied to $N_\bullet$ in $N/F_{l+\alpha_i-s+2}$, there is a pencil L_t of hyperplanes of N each containing $F_{l+\alpha_i-s+2}$, and for $\lfloor \frac{l+s}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq l$ and $t \neq 0$, L_t meets $N \cap F_j$ properly, with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} L_t \cap N \cap F_j = N \cap F_{j+1}$. Since $L_t \cap N \cap F_j = L_t \cap F_j$ for j in this range, L_t meets F_j properly.

Let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ be the subscheme whose fibre over $t \in \mathbf{P}^1$ is

$$X_t = \left[\Omega(\mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha_i}; L_t) + \Omega(\mathcal{T}^{+s}) \bigcap \Omega_{L_t} \right] \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Since L_∞ meets $\mathcal{F}_{l,\alpha_i}$ properly, The set $U' \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ of t where L_t meets $\mathcal{F}_{l,\alpha_i}$ properly is open and dense.

We claim that X_0 is the fibre of $\mathcal{X}$ over 0. In that case, let $U'' \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ be the open subset of those t for which X_t is generically reduced. Since X_0 is generically reduced, $0 \in U''$ so $U'' \neq \emptyset$. For $t \in U' \cap U''$, the fibre $X_t \in \mathcal{G}_{i,s}$. The restriction of $\mathcal{X}$ to $U \cup \{0\}$ gives a family over a smooth curve with generically reduced equidimensional fibres. Thus the association of a point of $U \cup \{0\}$ to its fibre gives a map $\phi : U \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \text{Chow } \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ with $\phi(U) \subset \mathcal{G}_{i,s}$ and $\phi(0) = X_0$, proving part 1.

For $T \in \mathcal{T}_{s-1,\alpha_i}$ let $\mathcal{X}_T$ be the subscheme of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ whose fibre over $t \neq 0$ is

$$(\mathcal{X}_T)_t = \Omega(T; L_t) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Arguing as at the end of §5.2, we may conclude that $\Omega(T; N) \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$ is the fibre of $\mathcal{X}_T$ at 0.

For $S \in \mathcal{T}^{+s}$, let $\mathcal{X}_S$ be the subscheme of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{P}^n$ whose fibre over t is

$$(\mathcal{X}_S)_t = \Omega(S) \bigcap \Omega_{L_t} \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}.$$

Arguing as at the end of §5.2, we may conclude that $\Omega(S) \bigcap \Omega_{L_0} \bigcap \Omega_{K_{i+1}} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap \Omega_{K_m}$ is the fibre of $\mathcal{X}_S$ at 0.

Since $\mathcal{X} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{s-1, \alpha_i}} \mathcal{X}_T + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{T}^{+s}} \mathcal{X}_S$, we conclude that the fibre of $\mathcal{X}$ at 0 is X_0 . $\blacksquare$

6. AN ALGEBRA OF TABLEAUX

The Schubert classes, σ_λ , form an integral basis for the Chow ring of any Grassmann variety. Thus there exist integral constants $c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ defined by the identity:

$$\sigma_\mu \cdot \sigma_\nu = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \sigma_\lambda.$$

In 1934, Littlewood and Richardson [9] gave a conjectural formula for these constants, which was proven in 1978 by Thomas [13].

Lascoux and Schützenberger [8] constructed the ring of symmetric functions as a subalgebra of a non-commutative associative ring called the plactic algebra whose additive group is the free abelian group Λ with basis the set of Young tableaux. For that, each tableau T of shape λ determines a monomial summand of the Schur function, s_λ .

Evaluating s_λ at Chern roots of the dual to the tautological bundle of the Grassmannian gives the Schubert class σ_λ . Non-symmetric monomials in these Chern roots are not defined, so individual Young tableaux are not expected to appear in the geometry of Grassmannians. In this context, the crucial use we made of the Schubert varieties $\Omega(T)$ is surprising.

A feature of our methods is the correspondence between an iterative construction of the set $\alpha_1 * \cdots * \alpha_m$ and the rational curves in the proof of Theorem E. This suggests an alternate non-commutative associative product $\circ$ on Λ . The resulting algebra has surjections to the ring of symmetric functions and to Chow rings of Grassmannians.

Additional combinatorial preliminaries for this section may be found in [11]. Here, partitions λ , μ , and ν may have any number of rows. Suppose T and U are, respectively, a tableau of shape μ and a skew tableau of shape λ/μ . Let $T \cup U$ be the tableau of shape λ whose first $|\mu|$ entries comprise T , and remaining entries comprise U , with each increased by $|\mu|$. For tableaux S and T where the shape of S is λ , define

$$S \circ T = \sum S \cup U,$$

the sum over all ν and all skew tableaux U of shape ν/λ Knuth equivalent to T . For example:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & & \\ \hline \end{array} + \\ \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & 6 & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & 6 & 7 & \\ \hline 5 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

This product is related to the composition $*$ of §2.5: Let Y_α be the unique standard tableau of shape $(\alpha, 0)$. Then $T * \alpha$ consists of the summands of $T \circ Y_\alpha$ with at most two rows.

Theorem F. *The product $\circ$ determines an associative non-commutative $\mathbf{Z}$ -algebra structure on Λ with unit the empty tableau $\emptyset$. Moreover, $\circ$ is not the plactic product.*

Proof: In the plactic algebra, the product of two tableaux is always a third, showing $\circ$ is not the plactic product. For any tableau T , $\emptyset \circ T = T \circ \emptyset = T$. Note

$$\boxed{1} \circ \boxed{1 \ 2} = \boxed{1 \ 2 \ 3} + \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix}} \neq \boxed{1 \ 2 \ 3} + \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix}} = \boxed{1 \ 2} \circ \boxed{1},$$

so $\circ$ is non-commutative. To show associativity, let R , S , and T be tableaux. Then

$$R \circ (S \circ T) = \sum R \bigcup W,$$

the sum over W Knuth equivalent to $S \bigcup V$, where V is Knuth equivalent to T . Let U' be the first $|S|$ entries in W , and V' the last $|T|$ entries, each decreased by $|S|$, thus,

$$R \circ (S \circ T) = \sum R \bigcup U' \bigcup V',$$

the sum over U' Knuth equivalent to S and V' to T , which is $(R \circ S) \circ T$. $\blacksquare$

Let $m < n$. For a tableau T of shape λ , let $\phi(T)$ be the Schur function s_λ . Define $\phi_{m,n}(T)$ to be 0 if $\lambda_1 + m \geq n$ or $\lambda_{m+1} \neq 0$ and σ_λ otherwise. Then ϕ and $\phi_{m,n}$ are, respectively, additive surjections from Λ to the algebra of symmetric functions and to $A^* \mathbf{G}_m \mathbf{P}^n$.

Theorem G. *The maps ϕ and $\phi_{m,n}$ are $\mathbf{Z}$ -algebra homomorphisms.*

Proof: For any tableaux S and T of shape ν and partitions λ and μ , there is a natural bijection (given by dual equivalence of Haiman [4]) between the set of tableaux with shape λ/μ Knuth equivalent to S and those Knuth equivalent to T , and this common number is $c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$. This shows that ϕ is an algebra homomorphism. It follows that $\phi_{m,n}$ is as well. $\blacksquare$

7. ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY AND ARRANGEMENTS OVER FINITE FIELDS

A main result of this paper, Theorem C, shows that any Schubert-type enumerative problem concerning lines in projective space may be solved over $\mathbf{R}$. By ‘solved’ over a field k , we mean there are flags in $\mathbf{P}_k^n$ determining Schubert varieties which meet transversally in finitely many points, all of which are defined over k . Given an enumerative problem, we feel it is legitimate to inquire over which (finite) fields it may be solved.

We present two families of enumerative problems for which this question may be resolved, and consider the problem of finding arrangements over finite fields.

7.1. The n lines meeting four $(n-1)$ -planes in $\mathbf{P}^{2n-1}$. Given three non-intersecting $(n-1)$ -planes L_1, L_2 , and L_3 in $\mathbf{P}^{2n-1}$, there are coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &: x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0 \\ L_2 &: x_{n+1} = \dots = x_{2n} = 0 \\ L_3 &: x_1 - x_{n+1} = \dots = x_n - x_{2n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

One may check that $\Omega_{L_1} \cap \Omega_{L_2} \cap \Omega_{L_3}$ is a transverse intersection, and if $\Sigma_{1,n-1} \subset \mathbf{P}^{2n-1}$ is the union of the lines meeting each of L_1, L_2 , and L_3 , then $\Sigma_{1,n-1}$ is the image of the standard Segre embedding of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ into $\mathbf{P}^{2n-1}$ (cf. [5]):

$$\psi : [a, b] \times [y_1, \dots, y_n] \longmapsto [ay_1, \dots, ay_n, by_1, \dots, by_n].$$

The lines meeting L_1 , L_2 , and L_3 are the images of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \{p\}$, for $p \in \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. $\Sigma_{1,n-1}$ has degree n , so a general $(n-1)$ -plane L_4 meets $\Sigma_{1,n-1}$ in n distinct points, each determining a line meeting $L_1, \dots, L_4$. These lines, $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$, meet L_1 in distinct points which span L_1 . Changing coordinates if necessary, we may assume ℓ_j is the span of x_j and x_{n+j} .

For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $p_j = [\alpha_j, \beta_j] \in \mathbf{P}^1$ be the first coordinate of $\psi^{-1}(\ell_j \cap L_4)$. Then

$$L_4 : \beta_1 x_1 - \alpha_1 x_{n+1} = \dots = \beta_n x_n - \alpha_n x_{2n} = 0.$$

Also, $p_1, \dots, p_n$ are distinct; otherwise $L_4 \cap \Sigma_{1,n-1}$ contains a line.

Thus, if k has at least $n-1$ elements, this enumerative problem may be solved over k .

7.2. The n lines meeting a fixed line and $n+1$ $(n-1)$ -planes in $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$. A line ℓ and $(n-1)$ -planes $K_1, \dots, K_n$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ are independent if for every $p \in \ell$, the hyperplanes $\Gamma_i(p) = \langle p, K_i \rangle$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, meet in a line. In this case, the union

$$S_{1,n-1} = \bigcup_{p \in \ell} \Gamma_1(p) \cap \dots \cap \Gamma_n(p)$$

is a rational normal surface scroll. Moreover, the lines meeting each of $\ell, K_1, \dots, K_n$ are precisely those lines $\lambda(p) = \Gamma_1(p) \cap \dots \cap \Gamma_n(p)$ for $p \in \ell$.

Since $S_{1,n-1}$ has degree n , a general $(n-1)$ -plane K_{n+1} meets $S_{1,n-1}$ in n distinct points, each determining a line $\lambda(p)$ which meets $\ell, K_1, \dots, K_{n+1}$. If k is finite with q elements, there are only $q+1$ lines $\lambda(p)$ defined over k . Thus it is necessary that $q \geq n-1$ to solve this problem over k . We show this condition suffices.

All rational normal surface scrolls are projectively equivalent, (cf. [5], §9), thus we may assume that $S_{1,n-1}$ has the following standard form. Let $x_1, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_n$ be coordinates for $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ where ℓ has equation $y_1 = \dots = y_n = 0$. Then for $p = [a, b, 0, \dots, 0] \in \ell$, $\lambda(p)$ is the linear span of p and the point $[0, 0, a^{n-1}, a^{n-2}b, \dots, ab^{n-2}, b^{n-1}]$.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{P}^1$ be distinct points. Let $F = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i b^i a^{n-i}$ be a form on $\mathbf{P}^1$ vanishing at $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Define K_{n+1} by the vanishing of the two linear forms

$$\Lambda_1 : x_2 - y_1 \quad \Lambda_2 : A_0 x_1 + A_1 y_1 + \dots + A_n y_n.$$

The intersection of $S_{1,n-1}$ and the hyperplane defined by Λ_1 is the rational normal curve

$$\psi : [a, b] \mapsto [a^n, a^{n-1}b, a^{n-2}b^2, \dots, ab^{n-1}, b^n].$$

Since $\psi^*(\Lambda_2) = F$, the lines meeting each of $\ell, K_1, \dots, K_{n+1}$ are $\lambda(\alpha_1), \dots, \lambda(\alpha_n)$.

Thus, if k has at least $n-1$ elements, this enumerative problem may be solved over k .

These two families are the only non-trivial examples of Schubert-type enumerative problems for which we know an explicit description of their solutions. Each of these problems can be solved over any field k where $\#\mathbf{P}_k^1$ exceeds the number of solutions. It would be interesting to find explicit solutions to other enumerative problems to test whether this holds more generally.

7.3. Arrangements over Finite Fields. In §2.6 we remarked it is possible to construct arrangements over some finite fields. Here we show how.

Recall that an arrangement is complete flag F and $2n-3$ hyperplanes $H_2, \dots, H_{2n-2}$ such that for any p ,

1. $H_p \cap F_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} = F_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1}$,
2. For tableaux S, T of degrees at most $p-1$, if $H_T \cap H_S \subset H_p$, then $H_T \cap H_S = F_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1}$.

We give an equivalent set of conditions. For any subset $A \neq \emptyset$ of $\{2, 3, \dots, 2n-2\}$, let H_A be $\bigcap \{H_i \mid i \in A\}$. Set $H_\emptyset = \mathbf{P}^n$.

Lemma. *A complete flag F and hyperplanes $H_2, \dots, H_{2n}$ constitute an arrangement if and only if for each $m = 1, \dots, n-1$, they satisfy*

- 1'. $H_{2m} \cap F_m = F_{m+1}$ and $F_{m+1} \subset H_{2m+1}$.
- 2'. For any $A \subset \{2, 3, \dots, 2m\}$ where H_A has codimension $\#A \leq m$, $H_A \not\subset H_{2m+1}$.

Proof: Let $H_2, \dots, H_{2n-2}$ and F satisfy 1' and 2'. We show they constitute an arrangement by induction on m . Suppose that for $p < 2m$ conditions 1 and 2 for arrangements are satisfied. We show that 1 and 2 are satisfied for $p = 2m$ and $2m+1$. For $p = 2m$, 1 and 1' are equivalent. Moreover, if S, T are tableaux of degree less than $2m$, then $F_m \subset H_T \cap H_S$, so $H_T \cap H_S \not\subset H_{2m}$, so 2 is satisfied.

Thus $H_2, \dots, H_{2m}, F_1, \dots, F_{m+1}$ constitute an arrangement in $\mathbf{P}^n / F_{m+2} \simeq \mathbf{P}^{m+1}$. Then by Lemma 2.7, if T has shape (α, β) with $\alpha + \beta < 2m$, H_T has codimension β and is not equal to F_β .

Let S, T be tableaux of degree at most $2m$ and suppose $F_{m+1} \neq H_S \cap H_T$. Let B be the union of the second rows of S and T . Since $H_B = H_S \cap H_T$ has codimension $s \leq m$, there is a set $A \subset B$ of order s with $H_A = H_B$. By 2', $H_S \cap H_T = H_A \not\subset H_{2m+1}$. So H_{2m+1} satisfies 1 and 2.

Conversely, suppose $H_2, \dots, H_{2n-2}$ and F constitute an arrangement. These satisfy 1'. To show they satisfy 2', let $A \subset \{2, \dots, 2m\}$ where H_A has codimension $s = \#A$. Suppose $A = a_1 < \dots < a_s$ and let j be the largest index such that $a_j < 2j$. If $j = 0$, then A is the second row of a tableau T , so $H_A = H_T \not\subset H_{2m+1}$. If $j \neq 0$, then $j \geq 2$. Since $p < 2j$ implies $F_j \subset H_p$ and H_A has codimension s , we have $F_j = H_{a_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{a_j}$. An induction using condition 1' shows that $F_j = H_3 \cap H_2 \cap H_4 \cap \dots \cap H_{2j-2}$. Thus $H_A = H_{A'}$ where

$$A' = 3, 2 < \dots < 2j-2 < a_{j+1} < \dots < a_s,$$

and if $i > j$, $a_i \geq 2i$. Let $B : 2 < \dots < 2j-2 < a_{j+1} < \dots < a_s$. then we see that B is the second row a tableau T of degree at most $2s$. Let S be the tableau of degree 3 whose second row consists of 3. Since $s \leq m$, $F_{m+1} \neq H_S \cap H_T$, so $H_A = H_S \cap H_T \not\subset H_{2m+1}$. $\blacksquare$

7.4. Corollary. *Condition 2' may be replaced by*

- 2''. If $A : 3, 2 < \dots < 2j-2 < a_{j+1} < \dots < a_m \leq 2m$ satisfies $i > j$ implies $a_i \geq 2i$, then $H_A \not\subset H_{2m+1}$.

Proof: Suppose $H_2, \dots, H_{2n-2}$ and F satisfy 1' and 2' and $A : 3, 2 < \dots < 2j-2 < a_{j+1} < \dots < a_m \leq 2m$ satisfies $i > j$ implies $a_i \geq 2i$. Then $2 < \dots < 2j-2 < a_{j+1} < \dots < a_m$ is the second row of a tableau T . By Lemma 2.7, H_T has codimension $m-1$ and does not equal F_{m-1} . Thus $H_T \not\subset H_3$ so $H_A = H_T \cap H_3$ has codimension m .

Conversely, suppose $H_2, \dots, H_{2n-2}$ and F satisfy 1' and 2''. From the proof of the previous Lemma, it suffices to know 2' for those subsets A of the form $3, 2 < \dots < 2j-2 < a_{j+1} < \dots < 2s \leq 2m$, where $i > j$ implies $a_i \geq 2i$. If $B = A \cup \{2s+2, \dots, 2m\}$, then B also has the form in 2''. So $H_B \not\subset H_{2m+1}$. Since $H_B \subset H_A$, $H_A \not\subset H_{2m+1}$, showing 2' holds for $H_2, \dots, H_{2n-2}, F$. $\blacksquare$

We estimate the size of a field k necessary to construct an arrangement.

7.5. Theorem. *There exists an arrangement in $\mathbf{P}_k^n$ if the order of k is at least*

$$\frac{(2n-4)!}{(n-2)!(n-1)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-4} \frac{(2i)!}{i!(i+1)!}.$$

Proof: Consider the problem of inductively constructing an arrangement in $\mathbf{P}_k^n$ satisfying 1' and 2'' of §§7.3 and 7.4. Since it is always possible to find a hyperplane not containing any particular proper linear subspace of $\mathbf{P}^n$, the only possible obstruction is the selection of hyperplanes $H_{2m+1} \supset F_{m+1}$ satisfying 2'' for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$.

Let $\check{\mathbf{P}}^m$ be the set of hyperplanes defined over k containing F_{m+1} . Every codimension m subspace H_A containing F_{m+1} determines a hyperplane $\check{H}_A$ in $\check{\mathbf{P}}^m$ consisting of those hyperplanes H of $\mathbf{P}^n$ containing H_A . Thus there exists a hyperplane H_{2m+1} satisfying 2' if, as sets of k -points,

$$X = \check{\mathbf{P}}^m - \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{S}} \check{H}_A \neq \emptyset,$$

Where $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of those sequences $3, 2 < \dots < 2j-2 < a_{j+1} < \dots < a_m \leq 2m$ such that if $i > j$, then $a_i \geq 2i$. We claim $\#\mathcal{S} = s_m = \frac{(2m)!}{m!(m+1)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \frac{(2i)!}{i!(i+1)!}$. Suppose k has $q \geq s_{n-2}$ elements. Since $\check{\mathbf{P}}^m$ has $(q^{m+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ elements and each $\check{H}_A$ has $(q^m - 1)/(q - 1)$ elements, X is non-empty if $q^{m+1} - 1 > (q^m - 1)s_m$. This holds as

$$\left\lfloor \frac{q^{m+1} - 1}{q^m - 1} \right\rfloor \geq q \geq s_{n-2} \geq s_m.$$

To enumerate $\mathcal{S}$, let $\{3, 2 < \dots < 2j-2 < a_{j+1} < \dots < a_m\} \in \mathcal{S}$. If $b_j = a_{j+1} - 2j$, then $b_1, \dots, b_{m-j}$ is the second row of a tableau of shape $(m-j, m-j)$. Conversely, if $b_1, \dots, b_{m-j}$ is the second row of a tableau of shape $(m-j, m-j)$, then

$$\{3, 2 < \dots < 2j-2 < b_1 + 2j < \dots < b_{m-j} + 2j\} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_s$ be the set of tableaux of shape (s, s) . These considerations show there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{S} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_m \cup \mathcal{T}_{m-2} \cup \mathcal{T}_{m-3} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{T}_0.$$

Noting that $\#\mathcal{T}_s = \frac{(2s)!}{s!(s+1)!}$, by the hook length formula of Frame, Robinson, and Thrall [2], shows that the order of $\mathcal{S}$ is $\frac{(2m)!}{m!(m+1)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \frac{(2i)!}{i!(i+1)!}$. $\blacksquare$

This result is not the best possible: For $\mathbf{P}^4$, this gives $q \geq 5$, but arrangements in $\mathbf{P}^4$ may be constructed over the field with three elements.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. CHIAVACCI AND J. ESCAMILLA-CASTILLO, *Schubert calculus and enumerative problems*, Bollettino U.M.I., 7 (1988), pp. 119–126.
- [2] J. S. FRAME, G. DE B. ROBINSON, AND R. M. THRALL, *The hook graphs of the symmetric group*, Canad. J. Math., 6 (1954), pp. 316–325.
- [3] W. FULTON, *Introduction to Intersection Theory in Algebraic Geometry*, CBMS 54, AMS, 1984.
- [4] M. HAIMAN, *Dual equivalence with applications, including a conjecture of Proctor*, Discrete Math, 99 (1992), pp. 79–113.
- [5] J. HARRIS, *Algebraic Geometry: A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 133, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [6] A. G. KHOVANSKII, *Fewnomials*, vol. 88 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs, AMS, 1991.
- [7] S. KLEIMAN, *The transversality of a general translate*, Comp. Math., 28 (1974), pp. 287–297.

- [8] A. LASCOUX AND M.-P. SCHÜTZENBERGER, *Le monoïd plaxique*, in Non-Commutative Structures in Algebra and Geometric Combinatorics, Quaderni de “la ricerca scientifica,” **109** Roma, CNR, 1981, pp. 129–156.
- [9] D. E. LITTLEWOOD AND A. R. RICHARDSON, *Group characters and algebra*, Phil. trans. Roy. Soc. London., 233 (1934), pp. 99–142.
- [10] F. RONGA, A. TOGNOLI, AND T. VUST, *The number of conics tangent to 5 given conics : the real case*. manuscript, available on WWW at URL <http://www.unige.ch/math/>, 1995.
- [11] B. SAGAN, *The Symmetric Group; Representations, Combinatorics, Algorithms & Symmetric Functions*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1991.
- [12] P. SAMUEL, *Méthodes D’Algèbre Abstraite en Géométrie Algébrique*, Ergebnisse der Math., Springer-Verlag, seconde ed., 1967.
- [13] G. THOMAS, *On Schensted’s construction and the multiplication of Schur functions*, Adv. in Math., 30 (1978), pp. 8–32.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, 100 ST. GEORGE STREET, TORONTO, ONTARIO M5S 1A1, CANADA, (416) 978-4031

E-mail address: `sottile@math.toronto.edu`